Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,277	MILLER, WILLIAM A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph A. Kaufman	3754
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) on NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIG of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 is	OR REMAINS) CLOSED IN or other appropriate commit ORTS. This application is:	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-17 and 21-31.		•
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have to certified copies of the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have to the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have to complete the priority documents have the priority	been received. been received in Application ments have been receive I this communication to file	on No d in this national stage application from the
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitt INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	ted. Note the attached EX reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF rediction is deficient.
CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Peper No./Mail Date (b) ☒ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	n's Patent Drawing Revie	•
identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.8 each sheet, Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	4(c)) should be written on to header according to 37 Ci	he drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposing attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT For a supplied to the comment of the comment	IT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT OR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	=	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413),
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Peper No./Mail Date 2/5/04, 4/19/04	Paper No.	/Mail Date Amendment/Comment
 Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	8. 🛛 Examiner's 9. 🔲 Other	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
U.S. Pattern and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Not	ice of Atlowability	Part of Paper No./Maii Date 11172004

Application/Control Number: 10/626,277

Art Unit: 3754

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: specie A drawn to Figures 1-8 and Specie B drawn to Figure 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/626,277

Art Unit: 3754

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Michael Hull on November 16, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of specie A, claims 1-17 and 21-31. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Examiner's Amendment

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Michael Hull on November 16, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows: in claim 1, line 2, claim 9, line 2, and claim 21, line 2, "may be" has been changed to -is-.

Claims 18-20 have been canceled.

- 4. Note, new drawings are required as the ones submitted are clearly not formal drawings.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the details of the nipple and the actuator structures, in combination with the other claimed elements, are not found in the prior art. Also note, the ease of disassembly of the device

Application/Control Number: 10/626,277

Art Unit: 3754

discussed in the preambles of each of the independent claims is given weight as the limitation gives life and meaning to the claim especially when viewed in light of the specification.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Neri, Voskuil et al., Achen and Hellenberg et al. show other rotating dispensing systems with pumps; and Norman et al. show another valve and piston structure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph A. Kaufman whose telephone number is (571)
 272-4928. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30AM-2PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,277

Art Unit: 3754

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph A. Kaufman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

11/12/04

jak

November 17, 2004